How a Drug Crime Attorney Challenges Evidence in a Drug Case

Understanding the process of challenging evidence in a drug case

When someone faces drug charges, the evidence against them often seems overwhelming. But a skilled drug crime attorney knows that evidence can be challenged on several fronts. The goal is not just to argue innocence but to test whether the prosecution's evidence was obtained lawfully, handled properly, and presented fairly. This article walks through the key steps a lawyer might take to challenge evidence in a drug case, with practical things to watch at each stage.

Step 1: Scrutinize the search warrant

Many drug cases start with a search warrant. A warrant must be based on probable cause, meaning the police must show a judge they had good reason to believe evidence of a crime would be found in a specific place. A lawyer will examine the warrant carefully for any flaws. Common issues include stale information (the warrant relied on observations made weeks ago), an overly broad description of what could be searched, or a failure to describe the place with enough detail. If the warrant is defective, any evidence seized under it may be suppressed.

What to watch: the warrant's date, the description of the place to be searched, and the items listed. A warrant that is too general, like one that allows a search for "any controlled substances" without limiting it to a specific type or location, might be challenged as a general warrant, which is unconstitutional.

Step 2: Examine the validity of a vehicle search

Drug evidence is often found during a traffic stop. Police may search a car without a warrant under certain exceptions, like the "automobile exception" (if they have probable cause to believe the car contains evidence) or if the driver consents. A lawyer will ask whether the stop itself was legal. If the officer had no valid reason to pull the vehicle over, any evidence found afterwards can be challenged as fruit of the poisonous tree. Even if the stop was legal, the search may have gone beyond what the exception allows.

View the location on Google Maps

What to watch: the reason for the stop, whether the driver actually consented (and if that consent was voluntary or coerced), and how long the stop lasted. A prolonged stop without reasonable suspicion can turn a lawful stop into an unlawful detention.

Step 3: Question the chain of custody

Drug evidence must be handled and stored in a way that preserves its integrity. The chain of custody is the record of who handled the evidence from the moment it was seized until it is presented in court. If there are gaps in that record or signs that the evidence was tampered with, a lawyer can argue that the evidence is unreliable. For example, if a lab technician cannot account for a period when the evidence was left unattended, the court might not allow it to be admitted.

What to watch: the number of people who handled the evidence, whether the evidence was properly sealed and labeled, and whether the lab followed standard procedures. A broken seal or a mislabeled bag can be enough to raise doubt.



Step 4: Challenge the reliability of a confidential informant

Many drug cases rely on tips from confidential informants. Informants are often people with their own criminal records or motives for cooperating with the police. A lawyer will want to know who the informant is, what they told the police, and whether that information was corroborated. If the informant has a history of lying or received a benefit for their tip (like a

reduced sentence), their credibility can be attacked. In some cases, the defense may ask the court to reveal the informant's identity if it is essential to the case.

What to watch: the informant's track record, whether their tip was specific or vague, and whether the police independently verified any part of it. A tip that is too general may not support probable cause on its own.

Step 5: File a suppression motion

If any of the above issues are found, the lawyer will file a motion to suppress the evidence. This asks the judge to exclude the evidence from trial because it was obtained in violation of the defendant's constitutional rights. A suppression hearing is held where the prosecution must prove the evidence was lawfully obtained. If the judge grants the motion, the prosecution may lose its strongest evidence and might even drop the case.

What to watch: whether the defense can show a clear violation of the Fourth Amendment (unreasonable search or seizure) or the Fifth Amendment (self-incrimination). The burden is on the defense to show the violation, but if they succeed, the evidence is out.

Step 6: Cross-examine the chemist or analyst

Even if the evidence is admitted, the lawyer can challenge its scientific foundation. The prosecution must prove that the substance is indeed a controlled substance. That means calling a chemist or forensic analyst to testify. The lawyer can question the analyst's training, the methods used, and whether the lab followed accepted protocols. Mistakes in testing, such as using an outdated method or failing to calibrate equipment, can create reasonable doubt.

Law Office of Steven F. O'Meara is also on LinkedIn.

What to watch: the analyst's qualifications, the chain of custody of the sample tested, and whether the lab has a history of errors. If the lab was recently shut down for misconduct, that fact can be used to attack the reliability of its results.

Step 7: Negotiate with the prosecution

Challenging evidence is not always about winning at trial. A strong challenge can give the defense leverage in plea negotiations. If the prosecution knows that key evidence may be suppressed, they may offer a more favorable deal. The lawyer can use the weaknesses in the evidence to argue for reduced charges, a lower sentence, or even dismissal. This is where the art of negotiation meets the law.

What to watch: the strength of the evidence that remains after challenges. The stronger the defense's motion, the more leverage they have. A well-documented chain-of-custody problem can be worth more than a vague objection.



For those facing drug charges in Delaware County or Chester County, understanding these steps can help in choosing a defense strategy. The Law Office of Steven F. O'Meara provides criminal defense services in Media, Pennsylvania, and handles drug-related cases among other criminal matters. An attorney from that office can offer guidance on how to approach the evidence in your case and what options may be available.

Every drug case is different, but the basic principles of challenging evidence remain the same. Whether it is a search warrant, a vehicle search, or a lab test, the defense has tools to test the

prosecution's case. The key is to act quickly, because some challenges, like a motion to suppress, must be filed before trial. Anyone charged with a drug offense should discuss their case with a qualified drug crime attorney as soon as possible.

The Law Office of Steven F. O'Meara is located at 14 S Orange St, Media, PA 19063, USA, and can be reached at +1 610-557-3907.