



When people first call a personal injury lawyer, the fee question usually comes up within the first few minutes. It is not a side issue. It is often the reason someone hesitated to call in the first place.

That hesitation is understandable. After an accident, most injured people are dealing with medical bills, time away from work, car repairs, insurance adjusters, and a level of uncertainty they did not ask for. The idea of paying a lawyer by the hour can feel impossible. Contingency fees exist largely because of that reality. They give injured people a way to pursue a claim without paying legal fees upfront.

The phrase sounds simple enough, but the details matter. A contingency fee is not just “the lawyer gets paid if you win.” That shorthand leaves out how the percentage works, what counts as a recovery, how expenses are handled, whether the percentage changes if a case goes into litigation, and what happens if there is no settlement at all. Those details can affect how much money a client actually takes home.

A clear understanding of contingency fees helps people ask better questions before signing anything. It also makes it easier to compare firms, spot red flags, and avoid surprises later.

## The basic idea behind a contingency fee

In a contingency fee arrangement, the lawyer’s fee depends on the outcome of the case. If there is a recovery through settlement or verdict, the lawyer receives an agreed percentage of that recovery as a fee. If there is no recovery, the lawyer usually does not earn an attorney fee.

That model shifts a substantial part of the financial risk from the client to the law firm. The lawyer invests time, labor, and often money into investigating the claim, gathering records, consulting experts, negotiating with insurers, and, if needed, filing suit and preparing for trial. The client does not write a retainer check to get the case started.

This is one reason contingency fees are so common in personal injury law. A strong injury case may require months of work before a single dollar comes in. In serious cases, it may take much longer than that. A client recovering from surgery or trying to manage chronic pain is rarely in a position to fund that process out of pocket.

From the client’s perspective, the arrangement creates access. From the lawyer’s perspective, it creates incentive. The lawyer is paid only if the case produces money. That does not mean [More helpful hints](#) every lawyer handles every case the same way, but it does mean the lawyer has a direct stake in obtaining a favorable result.

# Why personal injury cases often use this model

Personal injury claims are not like routine transactions where the amount of work and the likely outcome are predictable from the start. Liability may be contested. Medical treatment may continue for months. Insurance coverage may be limited. Witnesses may disappear. A case that looks straightforward on day one can become complicated very quickly.

That uncertainty is exactly why contingency fees developed such a strong foothold in injury practice. A person hurt in a crash, a fall, or another negligence-related event usually cannot wait until the case ends to get legal help. They need advice immediately, especially when insurance companies start calling.

There is also a practical point that many people miss. Defense lawyers hired by insurance carriers are almost always being paid from the beginning. The injured person usually is not in a position to match that with hourly legal bills. A contingency arrangement allows the claimant to put a professional advocate on more equal footing without having to absorb legal fees during the life of the case.

A seasoned personal injury lawyer also knows that early mistakes can be expensive. Saying the wrong thing to an adjuster, accepting a quick release, delaying treatment without explanation, or failing to preserve evidence can all reduce the value of a legitimate claim. When someone can hire counsel without upfront fees, they are more likely to get guidance before those problems occur.

## How the percentage is usually set

The fee percentage is set by agreement between the lawyer and client, and it should be clearly stated in a written contract. In many jurisdictions and practice settings, the percentage often falls somewhere around one-third of the recovery for a pre-suit settlement, though there is no universal rule. Some agreements use 33 1/3 percent if a case resolves before a lawsuit is filed, then increase to 40 percent if litigation becomes necessary. Others use a flat percentage regardless of stage. Some states regulate or limit fees in certain kinds of cases.

The percentage can reflect several factors. A straightforward rear-end collision with clear liability and modest treatment demands something different from a disputed commercial trucking case involving catastrophic injuries, multiple insurers, accident reconstruction, and expert witnesses. The more risk, labor, and cost involved, the more likely the fee structure will account for that.

What matters most is not whether a percentage sounds common in the abstract. What matters is whether the client understands when that percentage applies, whether it changes, and what it is calculated from. Those three questions resolve a surprising amount of confusion.

A written fee agreement should answer them in plain language. If it does not, that is a problem.

## The difference between attorney fees and case expenses

This is where many clients get tripped up. The attorney fee and case expenses are not the same thing.

The fee is the lawyer's compensation for legal work. Expenses are the out-of-pocket costs incurred while building and pursuing the case. Those may include charges for medical records, filing fees, service of process, deposition transcripts, expert reviews, trial exhibits, accident reports, and similar items. In larger cases, expenses can become significant.

Consider a relatively modest vehicle collision case. Gathering emergency room records, orthopedic records, radiology films, and billing records from several providers may cost several hundred dollars before negotiations

even begin. Now move to a contested case in litigation. Filing suit costs money. Serving multiple defendants costs money. Taking depositions can cost thousands. Retaining a physician or reconstruction expert may cost much more.

The contract should explain how those expenses are handled. Sometimes the firm advances them and is reimbursed from the recovery at the end. That is common. But even then, there is an important follow-up question: if the case does not recover money, who remains responsible for those expenses?

Some firms absorb case costs if there is no recovery. Others reserve the right to seek reimbursement from the client, even when no fee is earned. Neither approach should be hidden in fine print. It should be discussed openly before representation begins.

## **How the math actually works**

People often assume they will take home the settlement amount minus the lawyer's percentage. Real life is rarely that clean.

Suppose a case settles for \$90,000. Assume the contingency fee is one-third, and the firm advanced \$3,500 in case expenses. Also assume there are medical liens or unpaid treatment bills that must be resolved from the settlement. The client's net recovery is not simply \$60,000. The attorney fee comes out, the expenses are reimbursed, and lienholders or providers may need to be paid as well.

That does not mean the fee arrangement is unfair. It means settlement math includes several moving parts.

In many cases, one of the most valuable things a good personal injury lawyer does is reduce those lien and bill obligations. Hospitals, health insurers, Medicare-related interests, and treatment providers may all have reimbursement claims or balances. Skilled lawyers often negotiate those amounts downward. That can materially increase the client's net recovery, even when the gross settlement number does not change.

Here is a simple example in prose. If a case settles for \$150,000 and the fee is 33 1/3 percent, the attorney fee would be about \$50,000. If case costs total \$5,000, that amount is reimbursed next. If medical liens began at \$35,000 but the lawyer negotiated them down to \$20,000, the client's net rises meaningfully. The headline settlement number matters, but the net amount after all deductions is what the client ultimately receives.

That is why experienced lawyers discuss both gross value and net value. A larger settlement is not always dramatically better if costs are much higher or liens are not being actively negotiated.

## **When the percentage may increase**

Many fee contracts build in one percentage for pre-suit work and a higher one if the lawyer has to file a lawsuit. That is not a gimmick by itself. Litigation changes the economics of the case.

Before suit, much of the work may involve investigation, collecting records, reviewing treatment, communicating with insurers, and sending a settlement demand. Once suit is filed, the workload often expands sharply. There are pleadings, written discovery, depositions, motion practice, scheduling orders, mediation preparation, expert disclosures, trial preparation, and the possibility of appeal-related issues. The case may last another year or more.

From a law firm's side of the desk, a litigated file consumes far more attorney time and staff support. It usually requires greater cash outlay for costs as well. A stepped-up percentage reflects that increase in work and risk.

Clients should still ask exactly when the increase takes effect. Is it when the complaint is filed, when the defendant files an answer, when the case enters arbitration, or when trial preparation begins? Different contracts

define the trigger differently. Clear wording avoids conflict later.

## **Why lawyers do not take every case on contingency**

Some people hear “no fee unless we recover” and assume any injury case can be brought that way. That is not how reputable firms evaluate cases.

A contingency arrangement requires the lawyer to invest resources with no guaranteed return. If liability is weak, damages are minimal, treatment is sparse, or the available insurance is too low to justify the cost of litigation, a firm may decline the case even when the client is sincerely injured. That decision can feel personal, but it is usually economic and evidentiary.

For example, a person may have soft tissue injuries after a minor collision, but if they waited months to seek treatment and there is almost no visible vehicle damage, the case may be difficult to prove. Another person may have a stronger liability case but only a defendant with a very small policy and no collectible assets. The claim may be real, yet the likely recovery may not support the expense of pursuing it aggressively.

This case screening function is one reason insurers take certain claimant-side firms seriously. When a respected personal injury lawyer accepts a matter on contingency, it often signals that the lawyer believes the facts, damages, and recovery potential justify the investment.

## **What happens if the case loses**

This is one of the first questions every client should ask, and many do not.

If the case produces no recovery, the lawyer generally does not collect an attorney fee under a contingency agreement. But that still leaves the issue of expenses. Some firms waive them entirely if the case is unsuccessful. Others may seek reimbursement for some or all advanced costs. The contract controls, subject to state law and ethics rules.

There is also a practical distinction between a case that settles for nothing because it lacked merit and a case that ends after heavy litigation. In the first scenario, costs may be low. In the second, costs may be substantial. Depositions, experts, and trial exhibits can add up quickly. A client should know before signing whether those sums could come back to them if the result is unfavorable.

Another wrinkle appears when a client decides to end the representation before the case resolves. If a firm has already spent time and money on the matter, the agreement may address what happens if the client changes lawyers or walks away from the claim. That is not necessarily problematic, but it should never come as a surprise.

## **Settlement pressure and the incentive question**

A fair question sometimes comes up: if the lawyer gets paid only when the case settles or wins, does that create pressure to settle too cheaply just to ensure a fee?

The honest answer is that incentives can cut in more than one direction. A lawyer working on contingency does have a reason to seek resolution. But a strong lawyer also knows that consistently underselling cases damages reputation, referral relationships, and long-term viability. In serious injury practice, one badly handled case can undo years of trust.

The better way to assess the risk is not through theory alone. Look at communication and transparency. Does the lawyer explain the likely value range and the reasons behind it? Do they discuss medical proof, liability problems,

insurance limits, and jury appeal in practical terms? Do they prepare the client for the possibility that filing suit may improve leverage, while also acknowledging that litigation adds time and uncertainty? Those are signs of judgment.

In my experience, the bigger problem is often not that clients are pushed to settle too early, but that they are never shown the full picture. A good lawyer should be able to explain why a \$75,000 offer is weak in one case and reasonable in another. The answer depends on damages, proof, venue, policy limits, comparative fault issues, and what further litigation is likely to cost in time and money.

## **The documents you should read carefully**

A contingency fee agreement should be readable without a law degree. If it is dense, vague, or rushed past you, slow the process down. There are several points that deserve attention:

1. The exact percentage the lawyer will charge.
2. Whether that percentage changes if suit is filed or trial becomes necessary.
3. How case costs are advanced and reimbursed.
4. Whether you owe any expenses if there is no recovery.
5. How liens, medical bills, and other deductions are handled from settlement funds.

Those are not minor details. They affect what lands in your pocket.

Clients should also ask how settlement funds will be disbursed. In many cases, the insurer sends the settlement check to the lawyer's trust account. The firm then deposits it, waits for clearance, pays approved expenses and lienholders, deducts the fee, and sends the remainder to the client with a settlement statement. That statement should show the inflow and every deduction. If the numbers are not clear, ask for an explanation until they are.

## **A short real-world example of how expectations get off track**

A client once came into a consultation angry about a prior lawyer's fee, insisting that "they took nearly half." After reviewing the paperwork, the attorney fee itself was not the issue. The fee was the expected percentage. What shocked the client was the stack of unpaid treatment balances and a health insurer reimbursement claim that had to be satisfied from the settlement.

This kind of misunderstanding happens often. Clients understandably focus on the settlement amount they hear during negotiations. They are less focused on the obligations that still attach to that money. If nobody walks them through the net sheet early enough, the final distribution can feel much smaller than expected.

That is not merely a communication problem. It affects settlement decisions. Sometimes a proposed settlement sounds fair at first glance, but once fees, expenses, and liens are calculated, the client is left with too little to justify closing the case. A thoughtful lawyer does that math before recommending acceptance.

## **How contingency fees compare with hourly billing**

Hourly billing has its place in law, but it is usually a poor fit for most injury claimants. Paying \$250 to \$600 per hour, sometimes more depending on the market and the lawyer's experience, can become unmanageable quickly. Even a modestly contested injury case can consume dozens of hours before it gets close to resolution. A full litigation file can require far more.

Under hourly billing, the client often bears the financial risk whether the case succeeds or fails. Under a contingency arrangement, the lawyer bears much more of that risk. That difference is why people with legitimate claims can pursue compensation even when they cannot afford to finance litigation.

The trade-off is straightforward. If the case resolves well, the contingency fee may exceed what the same matter would have cost in hourly charges, at least in a simple hypothetical. But clients are not buying hours in the abstract. They are buying advocacy, access, risk transfer, and the ability to pursue the claim without paying along the way.

For many injured people, that trade-off is not just acceptable. It is the only practical path.

## **State rules can change the details**

Fee arrangements are shaped by state ethics rules, court decisions, and, in some matters, statutes that cap or regulate fees. Certain jurisdictions impose special rules for medical malpractice cases. Some require particular disclosures in writing. Others regulate how trust accounting and settlement statements must be handled.

That means advice from a friend in another state may not match what applies to your claim. Even two firms in the same city may structure cost handling differently while still operating ethically. What should remain constant is transparency.

If a contract is silent on a key point, ask. If the answer sounds slippery, keep looking.

## **Choosing the right lawyer is not only about the percentage**

People sometimes shop for a personal injury lawyer the way they shop for airfare, comparing the top-line number and stopping there. The fee percentage matters, of course. But the lower fee is not always the better deal if the lawyer lacks the experience, staff support, negotiation skill, or trial readiness to maximize the claim.

A firm with a slightly higher percentage that thoroughly develops liability proof, secures stronger medical evidence, identifies additional insurance coverage, and negotiates liens effectively may put more money in the client's hands than a cheaper option that settles fast and leaves value on the table.

This is particularly true in cases with layered insurance issues, serious future treatment, wage loss claims, or disputed causation. Those files reward attention to detail. They also punish shortcuts.

When evaluating firms, ask how often they file suit when necessary, who will actually handle the case day to day, how often they update clients, and whether they can explain their fee agreement in plain English. Competence often shows up in those ordinary conversations before it ever appears in a courtroom.

## **The practical bottom line**

A contingency fee lets an injured person hire legal counsel without paying attorney fees upfront. The lawyer is paid from the recovery, usually as a percentage set out in a written agreement. That percentage may change depending on whether the matter settles before litigation or after suit is filed. Separate from the fee, the case may also involve expenses that need to be reimbursed from any settlement or verdict.

For clients, the key is not to memorize a single "standard" percentage and assume the rest takes care of itself. The key is to understand the whole arrangement, especially the fee percentage, the treatment of expenses, the handling of liens, and what happens if there is no recovery. Once those pieces are clear, the model makes sense.

A good contingency agreement should leave very little mystery. You should know how the lawyer gets paid, what costs may come out of the case, and what your likely net recovery could look like under different settlement scenarios. If a lawyer welcomes those questions and answers them directly, that is usually a good sign. If the conversation gets evasive the moment money comes up, it is not.

When someone is already dealing with pain, treatment, and financial stress, clarity matters. It matters almost as much as the fee itself.

CGH Injury Lawyers

Address: 2701 Lawrence St Ste 201, Denver, CO 80205

Phone number: +17206698062

## **FAQ About Personal Injury Lawyer**

### **Is it worth suing for personal injury?**

Whether suing is worth it depends on your medical bills, lost wages, and clear proof of fault. It is usually worth it if you have severe injuries, expensive treatments, or uncooperative insurance. It is rarely worth it for minor bumps and bruises where costs and time outweigh the payout.

### **How hard is it to win a personal injury lawsuit?**

Winning a personal injury claim is generally favorable if you have strong proof. About 95% of cases settle out of court, and plaintiffs win roughly 50% of the cases that actually go to a trial. However, success depends heavily on clear facts, the type of accident, and insurance company resistance.

## **What not to say to a personal injury lawyer?**

When talking to your personal injury lawyer, the biggest mistake is hiding facts or minimizing your pain. You should never lie, omit prior injuries, downplay your symptoms, or guess about details you do not know. Absolute honesty is required because your attorney needs to know the bad facts to defend your case against the insurance company.